IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No. 3908 of 2000

Between:

Gurram Prameela, W/o. Vasudeva Reddy,
R/o. H.No. 9-5-2/4/2, SGR Colony, Champapet,
L.B.Nagar, R.R.District. ..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner,
L.B.Nagar Muncipality, R.R.District.
2 The District Collector,
Ranga Reddy District. .....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the illegal action of the respondents digging a borewell within the radius of about 30Mts. i.e. within the radius of influence from the already existing borewell of the petitioner violating Ground water protection Act by keeping submercuble pump and supplying the water to the people away from the borewell is illegal, arbitrary and violation of Ground Water protection Act and Article 14, 19 and 21 of the Indian Constitution and consequently direct the respondents to deal or supply the water coming from the borewell which was dug within the radius of influence i.e. at road No. 3 &4 corner of SGR Colony, Champapet, L.B.Nagar Municipality to our house or to pay compensation after calculating present and future irreparable loss.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr.KOONA REDDY ANJI REDDY

Counsel for Respondents : Mr.V.VISWANATHAM

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

-

On 24.6.2005, when the matter has come up for consideration, learned counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation on behalf of petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed next week under the caption 'for dismissal'.

Today when the matter is taken up for hearing in the forenoon, none appears for the petitioner. The matter was passed over and again taken up in afternoon, but none appears for the petitioner and there is no representation.

In the circumstances, this writ petition is dismissed for default/non-prosecution. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Date: 8.7.2005

DA

To

1 The Commissioner, L.B.Nagar Muncipality, R.R.District.

2 The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.

3 Two CD copies.